| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.                  | Applicant(s)                    |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|  | 10/560,383                       | ORCHARD ET AL.                  |
|  | Examiner                         | Art Unit                        |
|  | JOHN MABRY                       | 1625                            |
| All Participants: Status of Application:   |                                  |                                 |
| (1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .  | (3)                              |                                 |
| (2) Brittany La.   | (4)                              |                                 |
| Date of Interview: 16 May 2011   | Time: <u>12:12 pm</u>            |                                 |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                                  |                                 |
| Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: n/a  |                                  |                                 |
| Claims discussed: all pending claims - claims 1, 3, 4 and 20-35  |                                  |                                 |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a   |                                  |                                 |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  |                                  |                                 |
| Part III.  |                                  |                                 |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                                  |                                 |
|  |                                  |                                 |
| /John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625  (A   | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite the prosecution of this case, Examiner contacted Attny La and discussed the following: 1) since the compounds and pharmaceutical composition claims were allowable, Examiner inquired if Applicant wanted rejoinder of process of preparation and method claims which corresponds to Group II and Group III of Restriction Requirement dated 12/30/09, respectively; 2) Examiner warned Attny La of enablement issues regarding the method claims; and 3) Attny La consulted with Applicant and communicated to Examiner to rejoin the process of preparatio claim 4 and to cancel method claims 21-35.